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- GEMOM (Genetic Message Oriented Secure Middleware) project: secure middleware development including five different case studies
- Middleware connects various software components or applications together - Publish-Subscribe variant of a MOM transmits various types of messages between applications
  - no direct human users!
- Still, one of the project’s aims is better usability of the end product without risking the security of it
- The main idea is to perform usability studies as early in the project as possible so that the studies could have an actual effect on the middleware functionalities perceivable by human users
The overall conceptual framework of GEMOM

**Case Studies**
- Financial Market data Delivery
- Collaborative Business Portal
- Distributed Linked Exchanges
- Dynamic Road Management System
- Banking Application

**Publishers/Subscribers**
- QoS, Security, Trust, Intelligent Fussing tool, Vulnerability toolkit
- self optimization, redundancy and healing

**Other MOM Platforms**
- JMS
- Tibco’s RV
- Reuter’s Triarch
- IBM MQSeries

**Publishing Framework**
- Client resolution
- Bridges and Adapters
- The rest of Publishing Framework

**GEMOM Framework**
- Broker Core
- Adaptive Security Knowledge Repository
Extract from the project plan

• “Quality of service and security solutions strongly affect and users. This task will study the implications of quality of service and security solutions on applications and end users. The technical solutions will be interpreted into scenarios of usage. These scenarios and actual concepts will be evaluated with end users to study factors that affect user acceptance of the solutions. Key research issue will be how users see faults, how they understand them, and how they can follow with in healing from the faults.”
Challenges in usability promotion in our project

- Deeply technical project
- Technically oriented project members
  - User only perceived as the source for requirements definition
- Usability related task not clearly defined
- Direct end users not evident
- Very limited work allocation for human factor studies
  - Excludes the possibility of a usability specialist to e.g. interview or lead usability workshops

- Main questions:
  1. How to motivate usability studies in a project without direct end users?
  2. How to perform usability studies with sparse resources?
Finding the users

• The predefined project plan stated that

User acceptance shall be obtained with the help of scenarios; the new technical solutions would be interpreted into scenarios of usage, which would then be evaluated with the users.

• No user interface -> concentrate on the functionalities of the middleware as seen by the human user

• Who are the users evaluating user acceptance?
  • User classification, description and examples developed in each case study
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USER CLASSIFICATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Marginal end users: technical expertise needed: low; not at all or very little possibilities to evaluate the quality of middleware functioning</td>
<td>People furthest away from the middleware, still benefiting from its functioning in some remote way citizens, employees or managerial superiors receiving the service via some professional or using the technology by him/herself mostly for reading purpose</td>
<td>- citizen in an emergency situation (CBP) - representatives of public bodies in partnership (CBP) - departments as customers for procurement services (DLE) - public organizations receiving information about roads and traffic (DRMS) - private organizations receiving information about roads and traffic (DRMS) - bank’s quality assessing operator (BA) - branch/bank director (BA) - auditor (BA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Service-providing end users technical expertise needed: moderate; some possibilities to evaluate the quality of middleware functioning</td>
<td>Personnel using the software application related to the middleware</td>
<td>- trader or financial analyst (in-house or external institutional investors in e.g. banks and insurance companies) (FMDD) - field worker using systems (CBP) - emergency planning officer, i.e. regional partnership coordinator (CBP) - procurement professionals working for e.g. public administration, i.e. regional partnership representatives (DLE) - head of procurement for a partner local authority (DLE) - representatives in business community (DLE) - mobility data followers and information feeders (DRMS) - bank’s online customer (BA) - bank’s counter clerk (BA) - bank’s call-centre operator (BA) - bank’s third signature office clerk (BA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Service-supporting users technical expertise needed: fairly high; some possibilities to evaluate the quality of middleware functioning</td>
<td>Personnel supporting service by modifying the functionalities of the software related to the middleware; their work is related with the service, not with technical maintenance</td>
<td>- financial engineer or application and service developer (FMDD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Technically expert users technical expertise needed: high; good possibilities to evaluate the quality of middleware functioning</td>
<td>Personnel responsible for the maintenance of the technical system, interested in middleware from a technical viewpoint</td>
<td>- system administrator (DRMS) - system manager (BA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bold text = users chosen for evaluating user acceptance**

DLE = Distributed, Linked Exchanges  
DRMS = Dynamic Road Management Systems  
BA = Banking Application  
FMDD = Financial Market Data Delivery  
CBP = Collaborative Business Portal
Overcoming the lack of resources

- Technical experts harnessed to assist in usability evaluation
- Case studies provide the human users for usability studies
- Usability expert concentrates on acting as a supervisor; planning and analysing the usability implementation and its results
Usability implementation

- Usability evaluation will be realised mainly by non-usability experts -> a stepwise approach with well-defined instructions for each step
  1. Case study representatives define and describe the users affected by the functioning of the middleware in their case study
  2. Technical experts describe the technical solutions from the perspective of the users, i.e. the effect of the solution as can be perceived by the human users
  3. Leader of each case study produces the scenarios with the users
  4. The case study leaders send the scenarios to the usability expert who will check their meaningfulness and return the checked and possibly corrected scenarios with focused questions related with each scenario
  5. Users in each case study answer the questions, and the answers are sent to the usability expert who analyses them and produces a report about user acceptance
So far…

- We have performed two out of five steps
- We have had practical challenges with
  - providing extensive but not exhaustive instructions
  - similar understanding of terms between usability expert and software engineers
    - “User” means human users in HCI but may mean applications in software engineering
    - “Scenario” stands for short stories describing a work process from the human user’s viewpoint in HCI but may mean a system description or a case study in SE
Future

• The project will continue for almost 2 more years - This paper describes a work-in-progress, and more will be learned when the project is progressing

• Questions? Suggestions?
Thank you!