
I L P S ' 9 3

Incremental Equational Constraint Analyses

María Alpuente

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia

Moreno Falaschi

Germán Vidal

Università di Padova

The Objective

Equational Logic Programming in a Constraint Setting

The Naive Solution

An instance of the CLP scheme with **narrowing**
as **constraint solver**

(Basic) Conditional Narrowing

$$\frac{e \in g \wedge u \in \bar{O}(e) \wedge (\lambda \rightarrow \rho \Leftarrow \tilde{e}) \ll \mathcal{R} \wedge \sigma = mgu(\{(e|_u)\theta = \lambda\})}{\langle \Leftarrow g, \theta \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \Leftarrow (g \sim \{e\}) \cup \{e[u \leftarrow \rho]\} \cup \tilde{e}, \theta\sigma \rangle}$$

Concrete success set semantics

$$\mathcal{O}(\Leftarrow g) = \{\theta \mid \langle \Leftarrow g, \epsilon \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle \Leftarrow \text{true}, \theta \rangle\}$$

Resolution Procedure

$$\frac{H \Leftarrow c_0 \square \tilde{B} \ll \mathcal{P} \wedge \tilde{c} = c_0 \cup \{H = A_1\} \wedge c \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} c \cup \tilde{c}}{\Leftarrow c \square A_1, \dots, A_n \xrightarrow{\text{CLP}} \Leftarrow c \cup \tilde{c} \square \tilde{B}, A_2, \dots, A_n}$$

Narrowing as Constraint Solver

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(\Leftarrow c \cup \tilde{c}) \neq \emptyset}{c \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} c \cup \tilde{c}}$$

The Problem

Non-termination of (Basic) Conditional Narrowing
Non-incrementality of the Constraint Solver

The Idea

Incremental Lazy Resolution Procedure based on an
Approximated Narrowing

Abstract Interpretation \longrightarrow Constraint Analyzer

Abstract Conditional Narrowing

$$\frac{e \in g \wedge u \in \bar{O}(e) \wedge (\lambda \rightarrow \rho \Leftarrow \tilde{e}) \ll \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \wedge \sigma = mgu_{\mathcal{A}}(\{(e|_u)\kappa = \lambda\})}{\langle \Leftarrow g, \kappa \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \langle \Leftarrow (g \sim \{e\}) \cup \{e[u \leftarrow \rho]\} \cup \tilde{e}, \kappa \sigma \rangle}$$

where

$mgu_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the abstract mgu

$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a simplified abstract program which always terminates

Abstract success set semantics

$$\Delta(\Leftarrow g) = \{\kappa \in Sub_{\mathcal{A}} \mid \langle \Leftarrow g, \epsilon \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}}^* \langle \Leftarrow true, \kappa \rangle\}$$

unsatisfiability

$$\Delta(\Leftarrow g) = \emptyset \rightarrow g \text{ unsatisfiable}$$

Abstract Narrowing as Constraint Analyzer

$$\frac{\Delta(\Leftarrow c \cup \tilde{c}) \neq \emptyset}{c \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} c \cup \tilde{c}}$$

Compositionality \longrightarrow Incrementality

Concrete success set semantics is **compositional**

$$\mathcal{O}(\Leftarrow g_1, g_2) = \mathcal{O}(\Leftarrow g_1) \uparrow\uparrow \mathcal{O}(\Leftarrow g_2)$$

where

$$\theta_1 \uparrow\uparrow \theta_2 = mgu(\hat{\theta}_1 \cup \hat{\theta}_2)$$

$$\Theta_1 \uparrow\uparrow \Theta_2 = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{\theta_1 \in \Theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta_2} \theta_1 \uparrow\uparrow \theta_2 & \text{if different from } \{fail\} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Narrowing as Incremental Constraint Solver

$$\frac{\Theta' = \Theta \uparrow\uparrow \mathcal{O}(\Leftarrow \tilde{c}) \wedge \Theta' \neq \emptyset}{\langle c, \Theta \rangle \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} \langle c \cup \tilde{c}, \Theta' \rangle}$$

The Proposed Solution

Abstract success set semantics is **compositional**

$$\Delta(\Leftarrow g_1, g_2) = \Delta(\Leftarrow g_1) \uparrow_{\mathcal{A}} \Delta(\Leftarrow g_2)$$

$$\text{where } \kappa_1 \uparrow_{\mathcal{A}} \kappa_2 = \text{mgu}_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\kappa}_1 \cup \hat{\kappa}_2)$$

Lazy Resolution Procedure

$$\frac{H \Leftarrow c_0 \sqcap \tilde{B} \ll \mathcal{P} \wedge \tilde{c} = c_0 \cup \{H = A_1\} \wedge \langle c, \Theta \rangle \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} \langle c \cup \tilde{c}, \Theta' \rangle}{\langle c, \Theta \rangle \sqcap A_1, \dots, A_n \xrightarrow{CLP} \langle c \cup \tilde{c}, \Theta' \rangle \sqcap \tilde{B}, A_2, \dots, A_n}$$

Abstract Narrowing as Incremental Constraint Analyzer

$$\frac{\Theta' = \Theta \uparrow_{\mathcal{A}} \Delta(\Leftarrow \tilde{c}) \wedge \Theta' \neq \emptyset}{\langle c, \Theta \rangle \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} \langle c \cup \tilde{c}, \Theta' \rangle}$$

The Program

```
knapsack(M,L,W)  ⇐ addweight(M) = W   □  
                      sublist(M,L).  
  
sublist([],Z).  
sublist([X|Y],[X|Z])  ⇐  □  sublist(Y,Z).  
sublist(Y,[X|Z])  ⇐  □  sublist(Y,Z).  
  
addweight([a|R])  →  s(addweight(R))  ⇐.  
addweight([b|R])  →  s2(addweight(R))  ⇐.  
addweight([c|R])  →  s4(addweight(R))  ⇐.  
addweight([d|R])  →  s6(addweight(R))  ⇐.  
addweight([])  →  0  ⇐.
```

The Abstract Program

```
knapsack(M,L,W)  ⇐ addweight(M) = W   □  
                      sublist(M,L).  
  
sublist([],Z).  
sublist([X|Y],[X|Z])  ⇐  □  sublist(Y,Z).  
sublist(Y,[X|Z])  ⇐  □  sublist(Y,Z).  
  
addweight([a|R])  →  s(⊥)  ⇐.  
addweight([b|R])  →  s2(⊥)  ⇐.  
addweight([c|R])  →  s4(⊥)  ⇐.  
addweight([d|R])  →  s6(⊥)  ⇐.  
addweight([])  →  0  ⇐.
```

The Benchmarks

constraint	CAn	ICAn	AbNar	APCom
addweight([X]) = s(0), addweight([d]) = W	0.74	0.76	0.74	0.00
addweight(Y) = s ³ (0)	6.20	0.82	0.34	0.38
addweight([X Y]) = s ³ (0), W = s ³ (0)	24.96	1.7		fail
addweight(X) = 0	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.00
addweight(Y) = s ² (0)	2.58	0.42	0.32	0.06
addweight(Z) = s ³ (0)	20.82	0.80	0.36	0.32
addweight(W) = 0	155.38	0.68	0.28	0.30
addweight(X) = Z	0.32	0.44	0.32	0.00
addweight(Y) = s(0)	3.00	0.98	0.32	0.50
X = Y	3.54	0.40	0.02	0.36
addweight([a,b,X]) = Y1, addweight([Z1,Z2]) = Y2	1.36	1.80	1.38	0.00
addweight([Z1]) = s ² (0), Y1 = s(Y2)	4.58	2.74	0.42	2.18
addweight([X]) = s ² (0), Z1 = Z2	32.50	1.96	0.38	1.14
addweight(X) = Z	0.34	0.46	0.34	0.00
addweight(Y) = s(0)	2.98	0.98	0.32	0.52
addweight(X) = 0, X = Y	11.96	0.80		fail

CAn Constraint Analyzer

ICAn Incremental Constraint Analyzer

AbNar Abstract Narrowing

APCom Abstract Parallel Composition