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ABSTRACT

Regular expressions define regular languages, so, there exist algorithms that can solve some important problems concerning regular languages such as finite automata synthesis or analysis by using regular expressions. In this work, we propose an extension of regular expressions to characterize a larger language class, linear languages. Linear languages form a class which is properly included in the context-free language class and which also properly includes the regular language class. From the definition proposed in this work, an algorithm which obtains linear grammars from linear expressions (and vice versa) is formulated in a way similar to the one for regular expressions. We also review some problems concerning linear grammars such as the equivalence and the structural equivalence problem.
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1. Introduction

Conventionally, regular languages have been defined through finite automata, right (left) linear grammars or regular expressions as presented in any basic book on formal language theory such as [6]. When the language class becomes larger than regular language class, some of these concepts have been extended and/or modified in order to define the new classes. Therefore, pushdown automata and context-free grammars are able to define context-free languages. New (regular-like) expressions have been proposed for different (regular and non regular) language classes, and some works have taken such direction. So, Yokomori [14] proposed an extension of regular expressions to define context-free languages for inductive inference purposes. Hashiguchi and Yoo [4, 5, 15] proposed regular-like expressions to characterize bounded star degree languages. Gruska [3] introduced the operation of symbol iteration and defined the context-free class in terms of union, product and symbol iteration operations, he proposed context-free expressions by using the previous operators. Yntema [13] proposed the cap operator and introduced cap expressions to characterize context-free languages through cap, concatenation and union operators. Here, we focus on the structural information of linear grammars in order to propose regular-like expressions to characterize them.

The definition of a descriptive formal language such as formal expressions, opens up the possibility of proposing effective algorithms in order to obtain grammars from formal expressions and vice versa. Furthermore, this definition can help to easily study some problems related to formal grammars such as descriptive complexity and reversal complexity, in the linear case.

This work is structured as follows. First, some basic concepts concerning regular expressions and some transformations related to obtaining finite automata are presented. Then linear grammars are defined and we propose an extension of regular expressions to define linear languages. From the definition of linear expressions, we propose some algorithms which obtain
linear grammars from expressions and linear expressions from grammars. We relate this work to other problems related to the equivalence and structural equivalence between linear grammars. Finally, we present the conclusions of this work and some guidelines for future works.

2. Some Basic Concepts About Regular Languages

In this section, we provide some basic concepts about the definition of regular languages and we present several transformations on these. The definitions have mainly been obtained from classical works on the formal language theory presented in [6]. The concepts that we provide are basically focused on the relationship between regular grammars (finite automata) and regular expressions.

**Definition 1** Let \( \Sigma \) be an alphabet without the parenthesis symbols. A regular expression over \( \Sigma \) is defined in an inductive way as follows:

1. \( \emptyset \) is a regular expression which denotes the empty language.
2. \( \lambda \) is a regular expression which denotes the language that contains only the empty string, \( \{ \lambda \} \).
3. For all \( a \in \Sigma \), \( a \) is a regular expression which denotes the language that contains only the string \( a \), \( \{a\} \).
4. Let \( r \) be a regular expression which denotes the language \( L_r \), then \( (r) \) is a regular expression that denotes the same language.
5. Let \( r \) and \( s \) be regular expressions; then \( r + s \) is a regular expression that denotes the union of the languages denoted by \( r \) and \( s \).
6. Let \( r \) and \( s \) be regular expressions; then \( rs \) is a regular expression that denotes the concatenation of the languages denoted by \( r \) and \( s \).
7. Let \( r \) be a regular expression; then \( r^* \) is a regular expression that denotes the closure of the language denoted by \( r \).

The only regular expressions are those defined according to these rules.

It has been formally proven that any regular language is defined through a regular expression and vice versa. Specifically, the synthesis problem is defined as the problem of finding a regular grammar (finite automata) which is equivalent to a given regular expression, while the analysis problem is defined as the opposite one, that is, finding a regular expression that denotes the language defined by a regular grammar (finite automata).

Different solutions have been proposed to solve the synthesis problem, such as the solutions proposed in [10] and [11] and, more recently, the proposal by Hromkovič et al. [7]. The method proposed here to solve the synthesis problem for linear grammars is highly related to the method of derivatives of regular expressions proposed by Brozozowski [1]. Therefore, we are going to provide a basic definition which is related to this method.

**Definition 2** Let \( L \) be a language defined over the alphabet \( \Sigma \) and \( x \) be a string over the same alphabet. The right quotient of \( L \) with respect to \( x \) is denoted by \( x^{-1}L \) and is defined to be the set \( \{ u \in \Sigma^* : xu \in L \} \). This set is known as the derivative of \( L \) with respect to \( x \) and can also be denoted by \( \text{der}_x(L) \).

It has been shown through Nerode's Theorem that, given a regular expression over the alphabet \( \Sigma \), the different derivatives of the regular expression with respect to every string forms a finite set. In the same way, from the set of different derivatives obtained from the regular
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expression, a deterministic finite automata (DFA) can be constructed which is equivalent to the
regular expression as shown in [1]. The method for obtaining a DFA from a regular expression
is known as the derivative method.

The analysis problem has received different solutions as well. Some of them can be found in
[2]. Specifically, there exists a method which is based on systems of linear equations where the
coefficients and variables of the system are denoted by regular expressions. Thus, the resolution
of the system obtained from a regular grammar (finite automata) gives the desired regular
expression as shown in the same book [2]. This method can be adapted in much the same way
as the derivative method in order to work with the extension over regular expressions.

3. Extensions of Regular Expressions: Linear Expressions

In this section, we propose an extension of regular expressions in order to define the languages
generated by linear grammars. This extension can be used to define regular languages as a
particular case. Throughout this section, we will provide definitions and results which will
make the subsequent methods for solving the analysis and synthesis problems related to linear
languages easier.

**Definition 3** Let $\Delta = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $\Sigma = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m\}$ be two alphabets. We define
the alphabet $\Delta$ indexed by $\Sigma$, denoted by $\Delta_\Sigma$, as the set $\{a_1b_1, a_1b_2, \ldots, a_kb_m, \ldots, a_nb_m\}$.

**Definition 4** Let $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ be a grammar. $G$ is linear if every production in $P$ is in one
of the following forms

1. $A \to \alpha B \beta$, where $A, B \in N$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^*$
2. $A \to \alpha$, where $A \in N$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma^*$

For every linear language, we can obtain the following normal form for a grammar that
generates it

1. $A \to aB \mid Ba$ where $A, B \in N$ and $a \in \Sigma$
2. $A \to \lambda$ where $A \in N$

From now on, we will deal with linear grammars in the previously defined normal form.

**Definition 5** Let $\Delta = \Sigma_{\{l, r\}}$ be an indexed alphabet. Given a string $x$ over $\Delta$, we define the
image of $x$ in $\Sigma$, denoted by $\text{im}_\Sigma(x)$, through the following rules:

1. If $x = \lambda$, then $\text{im}_\Sigma(\lambda) = \lambda$.
2. If $x = a_L \cdot w$, with $w \in \Delta^*$, then $\text{im}_\Sigma(a_L \cdot w) = a \cdot \text{im}_\Sigma(w)$.
3. If $x = a_R \cdot w$, with $w \in \Delta^*$, then $\text{im}_\Sigma(a_R \cdot w) = \text{im}_\Sigma(w) \cdot a$.

As an extension of these rules, if $L \subseteq \Delta^*$ then $\text{im}_\Sigma(L) = \{\text{im}_\Sigma(x) : x \in L\}$

Now, we can give a definition for the extended regular expressions that we call linear expres-
sions.

**Definition 6** Let $\Delta = \Sigma_{\{l, r\}}$ be an indexed alphabet. A linear expression over $\Delta$ is defined in
an inductive way by the following rules:

1. $\emptyset$ is a linear expression that denotes the empty language, that is, the language $\text{im}_\Sigma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. 
2. \( \lambda \) is a linear expression that denotes the language which only contains the empty string, that is, \( \text{im}_\Sigma(\lambda) = \{ \lambda \} \).

3. For all \( a \in \Sigma \) \( a_L \) and \( a_R \) are linear expressions that denote the language with the unique string \( a \), that is, \( \text{im}_\Sigma(a_L) = \text{im}_\Sigma(a_R) = \{ a \} \).

4. Let \( r \) be a linear expression that denotes the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \), then \( (r) \) is a linear expression for the same language.

5. If \( r \) and \( s \) are linear expressions, then \( r + s \) is a linear expression that denotes the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \cup \text{im}_\Sigma(s) \).

6. If \( r \) and \( s \) are linear expressions, then \( rs \) is a linear expression that denotes the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(rs) \).

7. If \( r \) is a linear expression, then \( r^* \) is a linear expression that denotes the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r^*) \).

We can also define a linear expression \( r \) as a regular expression over the alphabet \( \Sigma_{\{L,R\}}^* \) denoting the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \).

**Example 1.** The linear expression \( (a_L b_R b_R)^* \) denotes the linear language defined by the set \( \{ a^i b^j : i, j \in \mathbb{N} \} \) which is not regular.

**Theorem 1.** If \( r \) is a linear expression over the indexed alphabet \( \Sigma_{\{L,R\}} \), then \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \) is a linear language.

**Proof.** We will perform the proof as an induction process over the number of operations (unions, concatenations and closures) that appear in the linear expression in a way similar to Kleene's Theorem for regular expressions [10]. In this case, we will provide an effective method for obtaining linear grammars in the normal form for every linear expression.

**Induction Basis**

If \( r = \emptyset \), then the linear grammar \( (\{ S \}, \Sigma, \emptyset, S) \) generates \( \text{im}_\Sigma(\emptyset) = \emptyset \).

If \( r = \lambda \), then the linear grammar \( (\{ S \}, \Sigma, \{ S \rightarrow \lambda \}, S) \) generates the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(\lambda) = \lambda \).

\( \forall a \in \Sigma \), if \( r = a_L \), the corresponding linear grammar is as follows

\[ (\{ S, A \}, \{ a \}, \{ S \rightarrow aA; \ A \rightarrow \lambda \}, S) \]

\( \forall a \in \Sigma \), if \( r = a_R \), the linear grammar that we propose is as follows

\[ (\{ S, A \}, \{ a \}, \{ S \rightarrow Aa; \ A \rightarrow \lambda \}, S) \]

**Induction hypothesis**

Let \( r \) be a linear expression that contains a maximum number of \( n \) operations of unions, concatenations or closures with \( n \geq 0 \). Then, there exists a linear grammar \( G_r \) that generates the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \).

**Induction step**

Let \( t \) be a linear expression that contains \( n+1 \) operations of unions, concatenations or closures. Let us analyse the different cases that can appear in \( t \):

1. \( t = r + s \), with \( r \) and \( s \) being linear expressions that contain a maximum number of \( n \) operations. In this case, by induction hypothesis, there exist linear grammars \( G_r = (N_r, \Sigma, P_r, S_r) \) and \( G_s = (N_s, \Sigma, P_s, S_s) \) that generate the languages \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \) and \( \text{im}_\Sigma(s) \), respectively. We can assume, without loss of generalization in our result, that \( N_r \cap N_s = \emptyset \).

   Thus, it is easy to prove that the linear grammar \( G_t = (\{ S_t \} \cup N_r \cup N_s, \Sigma, P_r \cup P_s, S_t) \) generates the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(t) \). Here, the set \( P \) is defined as

\[ P = \{ S_t \rightarrow \alpha : S_t \rightarrow \alpha \in P_r \} \cup \{ S_t \rightarrow \beta : S_t \rightarrow \beta \in P_s \} \cup P_r \cup P_s \]
2. \( t = rs \), with \( r \) and \( s \) being linear expressions that contain a maximum number of \( n \) operations. As in the previous case, by induction hypothesis, the linear grammars \( G_r = (N_r, \Sigma, P_r, S_r) \) and \( G_s = (N_s, \Sigma, P_s, S_s) \) generate the languages \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \) and \( \text{im}_\Sigma(s) \), respectively. Again, we can assume that \( N_r \cap N_s = \emptyset \). We propose the following grammar that generates \( \text{im}_\Sigma(t) = \text{im}_\Sigma(rs) \)

\[
G_t = (N_r \cup N_s, \Sigma, P'_t \cup P'_s, S_t)
\]

where \( P'_t \) is defined by the rules

- If \( A \to aB \in P_r \), then \( A \to aB \in P'_t \)
- If \( A \to B \alpha \in P_r \), then \( A \to B \alpha \in P'_t \)
- If \( A \to \lambda \in P_r \), then \( \forall \alpha : S_s \to \alpha \in P_s \) \( A \to \alpha \in P'_t \).

3. \( t = r^* \), with \( r \) being a linear expression that contains a maximum number of \( n \) operations. Again, by induction hypothesis, the linear grammar \( G_r = (N_r, \Sigma, P_r, S_r) \) generates the language \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \). We propose the following linear grammar to generate \( \text{im}_\Sigma(t) = \text{im}_\Sigma(r^*) \)

\[
G_t = (N_r, \Sigma, P_r \cup \{S_r \to \lambda\} \cup P'_t, S_t)
\]

where \( P'_t \) is defined by the set

\[ \{ A \to \alpha : (A \to \lambda \in P_r) \land (S_r \to \alpha \in P_s) \} \]

\[ \square \]

**Example 2** From the proof of Theorem 1, we are going to construct a grammar that generates the language defined by the image of the linear expression \((a_Lb_Rb_R)^*\). The grammar will be defined step by step according to the application of every operation in the expression.

1. \( a_L \)
   
   \[ S \to aA \quad A \to \lambda \]

2. \( b_R \)
   
   \[ S' \to A' b \quad A' \to \lambda \]

3. \( a_L b_R \)
   
   \[ S \to aA \quad A \to A'b \]
   
   \[ A' \to \lambda \]
   
   \[ S' \to A'b \]
   
   The last production can be deleted as it is useless.

4. \( a_L b_R b_R \)
   
   \[ S \to aA \quad A \to A'b \]
   
   \[ A' \to A'' b \quad A'' \to \lambda \]
   
   \[ S'' \to A'' b \]
   
   As in the previous case, the last production is useless and can be deleted.

5. \( (a_L b_R b_R)^* \)
   
   \[ S \to aA \mid \lambda \quad A \to A'b \]
   
   \[ A' \to A'' b \quad A'' \to \lambda \mid aA \]

We can easily prove that the last grammar generates the language \( \{a^i b^{2i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \), which is the image of the linear expression \((a_L b_R b_R)^*\).
Now we are going to propose a solution for the analysis problem. Given a linear grammar, the problem is to find a linear expression whose image denotes the language generated by the grammar. The algorithm to apply in the resolution of this problem is based on a reduction of the linear grammar to a regular one and the subsequent resolution of the regular grammar using well known methods. Finally, the regular expression gives the desired linear expression. We provide the following definition which will help us to carry out this task.

**Definition 7** Let \( G = (\Sigma, N, P, S) \) be a linear grammar in the normal form. We define the extended regular grammar of \( G \) and we denote it by \( G_{cr} \) as the tuple \((\Sigma_{LR}, N, P', S)\), where \( P' \) is defined by the following rules:

1. If \( A \rightarrow \lambda \in P \), then \( A \rightarrow \lambda \in P' \)
2. If \( A \rightarrow aB \in P \), then \( A \rightarrow a_{L}B \in P' \)
3. If \( A \rightarrow B_{a} \in P \), then \( A \rightarrow a_{R}B \in P' \)

**Lemma 1** Let \( G \) be a linear grammar in the normal form and \( G_{cr} \) be its extended regular grammar. Then \( x \in L(G_{cr}) \) if and only if \( im_{\Sigma}(x) \in L(G) \).

**Proof.** First, we will see that \( x \in L(G_{cr}) \) implies that \( im_{\Sigma}(x) \in L(G) \). The derivation sequence of \( x \) in \( G_{cr} \) will have the following form:

\[
S \overset{\alpha_1}{\Rightarrow} x_1A_1 \overset{\alpha_2}{\Rightarrow} x_1x_2A_2 \cdots \overset{\alpha_{n-1}}{\Rightarrow} x_1x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}A_{n-1} \overset{\alpha_n}{\Rightarrow} x_1x_2 \cdots x_n = x
\]

where every production \( \alpha_i \) takes the form \( A_{i-1} \to x_iA_i \). Therefore, by choosing the productions in \( G \) that define every \( \alpha_i \), which we denote by \( \alpha'_i \), we can obtain the following derivation sequence in \( G \):

\[
S \overset{\gamma_1A_1\phi_1}{\Rightarrow} \gamma_2A_2\phi_2 \cdots \overset{\gamma_{n-1}A_{n-1}\phi_{n-1}}{\Rightarrow} \gamma_n\phi_n
\]

where \( \gamma_i, \phi_i \in \Sigma^* \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), and it is easy to prove that \( \gamma_i\phi_i = im_{\Sigma}(x_1 \cdots x_i) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Therefore, we can conclude that \( \gamma_n\phi_n = im_{\Sigma}(x) \) and \( S \overset{\Delta}{\Rightarrow} im_{\Sigma}(x) \). Then, \( im_{\Sigma}(x) \in L(G) \) as was previously stated.

The other implication to be proven, \( im_{\Sigma}(x) \in L(G) \Rightarrow x \in L(G_{cr}) \), can be performed as before.

**Example 3** Given the linear grammar defined by the following rules

\[
S \rightarrow aA | bB \\
A \rightarrow Aa | bB \\
B \rightarrow aC | Bb \\
C \rightarrow \lambda
\]

its extended regular grammar is defined by the following productions

\[
S \rightarrow a_{L}A | b_{L}B \\
A \rightarrow a_{R}A | b_{L}B \\
B \rightarrow a_{L}C | b_{R}B \\
C \rightarrow \lambda
\]

**Theorem 2** For every linear grammar \( G \), there exists a linear expression \( r \) such that \( L(G) = im_{\Sigma}(r) \).
Figure 1: A scheme to obtain the language generated by a linear grammar

**Proof.** By applying the scheme of Figure 1, we can obtain a linear expression for the given linear grammar.

It is enough to obtain the extended regular grammar $G_{er}$ from $G$ and we can calculate a regular expression $r$ to denote $L(G_{er})$. This expression is a linear expression that denotes $\text{im}_\Sigma(L(G))$ as established in Lemma 1.

Given a linear grammar, it is obvious that we can always construct its extended regular grammar. If we apply the method based on systems of linear equations as shown in [2] to the regular grammar then we can obtain a linear expression whose image corresponds to the language of the initial linear grammar. The scheme to apply the different transformations is shown in Figure 1.

**Example 4** Given the extended regular grammar of example 3, its linear expression can be obtained by solving the following equation system:

\[
\begin{align*}
S &= a_L A + b_L B \\
A &= a_R A + b_L B \\
B &= a_L C + b_R B \\
C &= \lambda
\end{align*}
\]

The linear expression associated to this equation system is obtained from the solutions of the system which are the following:

\[
C = \lambda \quad B = b_R^* a_L \quad A = a_R^* b_L b_R^* a_L \\
S = a_L a_R^* b_L b_R^* a_L + b_L b_R^* a_L
\]

4. **Another Synthesis Algorithm**

Once we have established the equivalence between linear expressions and linear languages, we introduce a different method to solve the synthesis problem which is based on the derivative method proposed by Brozozowski [1]. First, we are going to provide the basic derivative rules of any linear expression with respect to any symbol. We then extend the rules to obtain derivatives of linear expressions with respect to strings and, finally, we propose a method for obtaining linear grammars from the previously calculated derivatives.
Definition 8 Let $t$ be a linear expression over $\Sigma_{\{L,R\}}$ and $a \in \Sigma$. We define the derivative of $t$ with respect to $a_L$, and we denote it by $\text{der}_{a_L}(t)$, through the following rules:

1. $\text{der}_{a_L}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
2. $\text{der}_{a_L}(\lambda) = \emptyset$
3. $\text{der}_{a_L}(b_L) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } a = b \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a \neq b \end{cases}$
4. $\forall a, b \in \Sigma \quad \text{der}_{a_L}(b_R) = \emptyset$
5. $\text{der}_{a_L}(r + s) = \text{der}_{a_L}(r) + \text{der}_{a_L}(s)$
6. $\text{der}_{a_L}(rs) = \text{der}_{a_L}(r)s + \delta(r)\text{der}_{a_L}(s)$ where $\delta(r) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } \lambda \in \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \notin \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \end{cases}$
7. $\text{der}_{a_L}(r^*) = \text{der}_{a_L}(r)r^*$

In the same way, we can define the derivative of $t$ with respect to $a_R$, denoted by $\text{der}_{a_R}(t)$, through the following rules:

1. $\text{der}_{a_R}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
2. $\text{der}_{a_R}(\lambda) = \emptyset$
3. $\forall a, b \in \Sigma \quad \text{der}_{a_R}(b_L) = \emptyset$
4. $\text{der}_{a_R}(b_R) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } a = b \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a \neq b \end{cases}$
5. $\text{der}_{a_R}(r + s) = \text{der}_{a_R}(r) + \text{der}_{a_R}(s)$
6. $\text{der}_{a_R}(rs) = \text{der}_{a_R}(r)s + \delta(r)\text{der}_{a_R}(s)$ where $\delta(r) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } \lambda \in \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \notin \text{im}_\Sigma(r) \end{cases}$
7. $\text{der}_{a_R}(r^*) = \text{der}_{a_R}(r)r^*$

From this definition, we can extend the derivatives of any linear expression with respect to any string in the alphabet $\Sigma_{\{L,R\}}$. We provide the following definition to perform this

Definition 9 Let $t$ be a linear expression over $\Sigma_{\{L,R\}}$ and $x \in (\Sigma_{\{L,R\}})^*$. We define the derivative of $t$ with respect to $x$, denoted by $\text{der}_x(t)$, through the following rules:

1. $\text{der}_x(t) = t$
2. $\text{der}_{a_L}(t) = \text{der}_{a_L}(\text{der}_x(t))$
3. $\text{der}_{a_R}(t) = \text{der}_{a_R}(\text{der}_x(t))$

From these derivative rules, we can provide a method for obtaining a linear grammar which is equivalent to a given linear expression. Therefore, if $t$ is a linear expression over $\Sigma_{\{L,R\}}$, then we denote the set of all the different derivatives of the linear expression with respect to the strings of the alphabet by $\mathcal{D}(t)$. This set is finite, given that $t$ is a regular expression over the indexed alphabet. The construction of a linear grammar which is equivalent to the linear expression is carried out as follows:

$$G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$$
$$N = \mathcal{D}(t)$$
$$S = \text{der}_L(t)$$

$P$ is defined through the following rules with $x \in \Sigma^*_\{L,R\}$
1. \( \text{der}_z(t) \rightarrow a \cdot \text{der}_z(a)(t) \)
2. \( \text{der}_z(t) \rightarrow \text{der}_z(a)(t) \cdot a \)
3. If \( \lambda \in \text{im}_\Sigma(\text{der}_z(t)) \) then \( \text{der}_z(t) \rightarrow \lambda \)

**Example 5** Given the linear expression \((a_L b_R b_R)^*\), the set of all the different derivatives with respect to \(\{a, b\}_{L,R}\) is calculated as follows

- \( \text{der}_\lambda((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = (a_L b_R b_R)^* \)
- \( \text{der}_{a_L}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = b_R b_R(a_L b_R b_R)^* \)
- \( \text{der}_{b_L}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = \emptyset \)
- \( \text{der}_{a_R}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = \emptyset \)
- \( \text{der}_{b_R}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = \emptyset \)
- \( \text{der}_{a_L a_L}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = \emptyset \)
- \( \text{der}_{a_L b_R}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = b_R(a_L b_R b_R)^* \)
- \( \text{der}_{a_L b_R a_L}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = \emptyset \)
- \( \text{der}_{a_L b_R b_L}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = \emptyset \)
- \( \text{der}_{a_L b_R a_R}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = \emptyset \)
- \( \text{der}_{a_L b_R b_R}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) = (a_L b_R b_R)^* \)

From these derivatives, a linear grammar which is equivalent to the linear expression is obtained using the auxiliary symbols \( S = \text{der}_\lambda((a_L b_R b_R)^*), A = \text{der}_{a_L}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) \) and \( B = \text{der}_{a_L b_R}((a_L b_R b_R)^*) \). The productions of the grammar are the following:

\[
S \rightarrow aA \mid \lambda \quad A \rightarrow Bb \quad B \rightarrow Sb
\]

5. Equivalence and Structural Equivalence for Linear Grammars

From linear expressions, we can offer a different point of view to the resolution of some problems which are related to linear languages such as the equivalence problem between linear grammars [12] or the structural equivalence problem for linear grammars [8, 9, 11]. The first problem was proven to be unsolvable by Rozenberg [12], so we cannot make any progress related to this problem. However, we can provide a different method for solving the second problem which, unfortunately, maintains its level of complexity.

First, let us see a result which relates the equivalence problem for linear grammars to the results presented in this work. This relation is established in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** The equivalence problem for linear expressions is unsolvable. That is, given two different linear expressions, \( r \) and \( s \), there does not exist an effective procedure to establish whether \( \text{im}_\Sigma(r) = \text{im}_\Sigma(s) \).

**Proof.** The equivalence problem for linear grammars was proven to be unsolvable by Rozenberg [12]. It is easy to reduce the equivalence problem for linear grammars to the equivalence problem for linear expressions. Consequently, the problem stated in the theorem is also unsolvable. \( \square \)
The structural equivalence problem for linear grammars is stated as follows: Given two linear grammars $G_1$ and $G_2$, the solution consists of determining whether the set of derivation skeletons of $G_1$ is equal to the set of derivation skeletons of $G_2$. This problem was proven to be $PSPACE$-complete [8, 9, 11]. We can reduce the structural equivalence problem for linear grammars to the equivalence problem for regular ones. The equivalence problem for regular grammars was also proven to be $PSPACE$-complete [8].

Given two linear grammars $G$ and $G'$, the structural equivalence problem can be established from the equivalence problem between $G_{er}$ and $G'_{er}$.

**Theorem 4** Let $G$ and $G'$ be linear grammars in the normal form and $G_{er}$ and $G'_{er}$ be their corresponding extended regular grammars. Then, $G$ is structurally equivalent to $G'$, if and only if $G_{er}$ is equivalent to $G'_{er}$.

**Proof.** Trivial from the proof of Lemma 1.

We can extend the last result to linear grammars as follows.

**Theorem 5** Let $G_1$ and $G_2$ be linear grammars. Then, there exist linear grammars in the normal form $G'_1$ and $G'_2$ which are respectively equivalent to $G_1$ and $G_2$, such that

(a) If $G_1$ is structurally equivalent to $G_2$ then $G'_1$ is structurally equivalent to $G'_2$

(b) If $G'_1$ is structurally equivalent to $G'_2$ then $G_1$ is equivalent to $G_2$.

**Proof.** Let us obtain $G'_1$ and $G'_2$ from $G_1$ and $G_2$ as follows: For every production in $G_1$ (or $G_2$) in the form $A \rightarrow a_1 \cdots a_n b_1 \cdots b_m$ substitute it by the set of productions $A \rightarrow a_1 A_1, \cdots, A_{n-1} \rightarrow a_n B_1$ and $B_1 \rightarrow B_2 b_m, \cdots, B_m \rightarrow B b_1$. For every production in the form $A \rightarrow a_1 \cdots a_n$ substitute it by the set of productions $A \rightarrow a_1 A_1, \cdots, A_{n-1} \rightarrow a_n A_n$ and $A_n \rightarrow \lambda$. The productions $A \rightarrow a_1 \cdots a_n B$ and $A \rightarrow B b_1 \cdots b_m$ are transformed in a similar way.

(a) Suppose that $G'_1$ is structurally equivalent to $G'_2$, then trivially so are $G'_1$ and $G'_2$.

(b) By the other hand, if $G'_1$ is structurally equivalent to $G'_2$ then $G_1$ is (not necessarily structurally) equivalent to $G_2$. The factorization of the production rules to obtain $G'_1$ and $G'_2$ does not preserve the original correspondence between the structures of the rules in $G_1$ and $G_2$.

6. Conclusions and Future Work

Throughout the present work, we have presented linear expressions as a new formalism for defining linear languages. This proposal has allowed us to establish new methods for solving the analysis and synthesis problems which are related to linear grammars. Finally, we have proposed a new method for solving the structural equivalence problem for linear grammars.

Future work related to this paper can be summarized as follows

1. We can apply indexed alphabets to define other language classes such as context-free ones. In this case, it would not be enough to use an indexed alphabet such as $\Sigma_{(L,R)}$, given that the structural relationships between the auxiliary symbols in the grammar are more complex than in the linear case. Therefore, we should use a different indexed alphabet to take into account some relations such as precedence between symbols and the number of symbols in every production.

2. If we turn our attention to studying only the structural aspects of the grammar, then we can use the same indexed alphabet $\Sigma_{(L,R)}$, but we need to define an image over $\{L,R\}$. We could also study some aspects of linear grammars such as the number of linear changes from left to right (right to left) that are carried out during the derivation of any string.
in the grammar. From this study, we might be able to impose new normal forms on the structure of the grammar.
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